

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

DALE LEROY JAMES, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICHAEL MEISNER, SANDY HAUTAMAKI  
and DIANA KIESLING,

Defendants.

---

ORDER

12-cv-542-wmc

Plaintiff Dale James Jr., a prisoner at the Columbia Correctional Institution in Portage, Wisconsin has submitted a proposed complaint under 42 U.S.C. 1983. Because plaintiff is a prisoner, he is subject to the 1996 Prisoner Litigation Reform Act. This means that before this court may decide whether he can proceed with his complaint *in forma pauperis*, plaintiff will have to make an initial partial payment of the filing fee.

In determining whether a prisoner litigant qualifies for indigent status this court applies the formula set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). According to this formula, a prisoner requesting leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* must prepay 20% of the greater of the average monthly balance or the average monthly deposits made to his prison account in the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint.

In this case, 20% of the average monthly deposits is \$4.65, but 20% of the average monthly balance is \$133.56. Because the greater of the two amounts is 20% of the average monthly balance, or \$133.56, that is the amount plaintiff will be assessed as an initial partial payment of the filing fee. If plaintiff does not have the money to make the initial partial payment in his regular account, he will have to arrange with prison authorities to pay some or all of the assessment from his release account. This does not mean that plaintiff is free to ask

prison authorities to pay *all* of his filing fee from his release account. The only amount plaintiff must pay at this time is the \$133.56 initial partial payment. Before prison officials take any portion of that amount from plaintiff's release account, they may first take from plaintiff's regular account whatever amount up to the full amount plaintiff owes. Plaintiff should show a copy of this order to prison officials to insure that they are aware they should send plaintiff's initial partial payment to this court.

With his complaint, plaintiff has also filed a motion to use his release account funds to pay the entire fee for filing this case. *See* dkt. 3. Although it is commendable that plaintiff is taking responsibility for paying his entire filing fee promptly, he cannot use his release account funds in the manner he requests. The language in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1) suggests that prison officials are required to use a prisoner's release account to satisfy an *initial partial payment* if no other funds are available. *Carter v. Bennett*, 399 F. Supp. 2d 936, 936-37 (W.D. Wis. 2005). However, with the exception of initial partial payments, federal courts lack the authority to tell state officials whether and to what extent a prisoner should be able to withdraw money from his release account. Because plaintiff cannot use his release account funds to pay the entire balance of the \$350 filing fee, I will deny his motion.

#### ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff Dale James, Jr.'s motion to use his release account funds to pay the entire filing fee in this case, dkt. 3, is DENIED;

2. Plaintiff is assessed \$133.56 as an initial partial payment of the \$350 fee for filing this case. He is to submit a check or money order made payable to the clerk of court in the amount of \$133.56 on or before August 24, 2012. If, by August 24, 2012, plaintiff fails to make the initial partial payment or show cause for his failure to do so, he will be held to have withdrawn this action voluntarily. In that event, the clerk of court is directed to close this file without prejudice to plaintiff's filing his case at a later date.

Entered this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER  
Magistrate Judge